

## Review Form

(Check and select as appropriate, write after ➤)

Paper title: ➤ \_\_\_\_\_

### I. Content

1. Does the topic of the paper belong to the scope of HYLE? Y  / N   
 If not, please suggest a more appropriate journal: ➤
2. Please evaluate the main theses by using a scale between 1 and 5:  
 ` *new, innovative, and original* (1) or *well-known and documented* (5):   
 ` *interesting and thought provoking* (1) or *boring* (5):   
 ` *important for the philosophy of chemistry* (1) or *marginal* (5):
3. Evaluate the overall argumentation by selecting the most appropriate term: --select--  
 Comments: ➤
4. Are all parts of the argumentation sufficiently clear? Y  / N   
 Comments: ➤
5. Are the main concepts introduced with sufficient clarity? Y  / N   
 Comments: ➤
6. Are pertinent publications on the same topic considered in the discussion? Y  / N   
 Comments: ➤
7. Is the text flawless in detail (no mistaken formulas, incorrect quotations, wrong chemical or historical data, etc.)? Y  / N   
 Comments: ➤

### II. Structure & Readability

1. Is the text coherently structured without unnecessary excursions leading astray? Y  / N   
 Comments: ➤
2. Is the text consequently ordered corresponding to the main line of argumentation? Y  / N   
 Comments: ➤
3. Is the text comprehensible both by philosophers and chemists, given each have some interest and basic knowledge of the other field? Phil.: Y  / N   
Chem.: Y  / N
4. Are unnecessary technical details avoided or appended? Y  / N   
 Comments: ➤
5. Evaluate the length of the text with regard to its content: --select--  
 Comments: ➤

### III. Formal Aspects

1. Does the title of the paper correspond to its content? Y  / N
2. Do abstract and keywords summarize the main topics and theses? Y  / N
3. Evaluate illustrations and figures (incl. captions)   
Comments: ➤
4. Evaluate text linguistically & grammatically   
Comments: ➤

### IV. Overall Recommendation

1. Acceptance
- a) without change
  - b) with slight changes
  - c) with considerable changes
2. Resubmission of completely revised paper
3. Rejection

In case of 2 or 3, please provide the main reasons of your decision:

➤

Please write any comments that you feel not covered by the items above in the box below.

➤

**Save file and send to: [editor@hyle.org](mailto:editor@hyle.org)**